

## Sheet I

Return by 26.9.2013

**Question 1** [*Orbit-Stabiliser Theorem*]: Let  $X$  be a set and  $G$  be a group. We say that  $G$  has an action on  $X$  if, for each element  $g \in G$ , we have a map

$$g : X \rightarrow X, \quad x \mapsto g \cdot x$$

such that

$$e \cdot x = x, \quad (g_1 g_2) \cdot x = g_1 \cdot (g_2 \cdot x) \quad \forall g_1, g_2 \in G, \quad \forall x \in X, \quad (1)$$

where  $e$  is the identity element of the group  $G$ . Thus  $G$  has an action on  $X$  if  $G$  can be considered as a group of transformations acting on  $X$ .

Given a group action on  $X$ , we define the *stabiliser* of an element  $x \in X$  as the subset of transformations that map  $x$  onto itself,

$$\text{Stab}(x) := \left\{ g \in G \mid g \cdot x = x \right\}. \quad (2)$$

The *orbit* of an element  $x \in X$  under the action of  $G$  is the subset of  $X$  whose elements can be obtained by acting on  $x$  with some element of  $G$ ,

$$Gx := \left\{ y \in X \mid y = g \cdot x \text{ for some } g \in G \right\}. \quad (3)$$

The orbit-stabiliser theorem states that the order  $|G|$  of  $G$  can be calculated as the product of the order of the stabiliser of  $x$  times the cardinality of the orbit  $Gx$

$$\text{card}(Gx) \cdot |\text{Stab}(x)| = |G|. \quad (4)$$

This is true for any  $x \in X$ .

The aim of this question is to verify this theorem in a simple (nontrivial) case, where  $G$  is the symmetry group of the cube (named  $O$ ). Furthermore, one can relatively easily prove the statement abstractly.

- (a) Enumerate the elements of  $O$  in terms of transformations of the cube. Do not include inversions (therefore consider only proper rotations). [*Hint*:  $|O| = 24$ .]
- (b) Verify the orbit-stabiliser theorem by considering the action of  $O$  on
  - the set  $F$  of faces of a cube;
  - the set  $V$  of vertices of a cube.

\*(c) Prove the orbit-stabiliser theorem in the general case.

*Hint*: fix an element  $x \in X$ , then

- (i) show that the relation  $g \sim h$  if  $g \cdot x = h \cdot x$  is an equivalence relation;

- (ii) show that the number of elements of  $G$  in each equivalence class is equal (and compute it!);
- (iii) show that the number of equivalence classes into which  $G$  is partitioned via  $\sim$  is equal to the cardinality of  $Gx$ .

**Question 2** [*Dihedral group — Part I*]: The goal of this exercise is to gain familiarity with the dihedral group  $D_n$  and its irreducible representations. Recall that  $D_n$  is generated by two elements  $d$  and  $s$  satisfying the relations

$$d^n = s^2 = e, \quad d^{-k}s = s d^k \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (5)$$

- (a) The simplest dihedral group is  $D_3$ , the symmetry group of an equilateral triangle. Identify the elements  $d$  and  $s$  with symmetries of the triangle and convince yourself that the relations (5) are satisfied. Draw the multiplication table of  $D_3$ .

Recall that a representation  $\rho$  of a group  $G$  on a complex vector space  $V$  is a group homomorphism  $\rho : G \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$ , i.e. a map  $\rho$  such that  $\rho(g_1 \cdot g_2) = \rho(g_1) \circ \rho(g_2)$ .

- (b) Suppose that  $S$  and  $D$  are  $l \times l$  matrices satisfying

$$D^n = S^2 = \mathbf{1}_l, \quad S D^k S = D^{-k} \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (6)$$

Show that  $\rho(s) = S$  and  $\rho(d) = D$  then defines an  $l$ -dimensional representation of  $D_n$  (acting by  $l \times l$  matrices on an  $l$ -dimensional vector space).

- (c) For the case of  $D_3$  find three inequivalent irreducible representations of dimensions 1, 1 and 2. (We will see later that these are actually all representations of  $D_3$ .)
- (d) Similarly, for the case of  $D_4$  find five inequivalent irreducible representations of dimensions 1, 1, 1, 1, and 2. Again, this list will turn out to be complete.
- \* (e) For the case of  $D_5$  find four inequivalent irreducible representations of dimensions 1, 1, 2, and 2. Once again, this list is actually complete.

*Hints:* A representation  $\rho : G \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$  is called *irreducible* if there exists no proper non-zero subspace  $W \subset V$  that is invariant under  $\rho(a)$  for all  $a \in G$ . Moreover, two representations  $\rho_1$  and  $\rho_2$  of a group  $G$  on vector spaces  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  are said to be *equivalent* if there exists an isomorphism  $T : V_1 \rightarrow V_2$  such that

$$T \circ \rho_1(a) = \rho_2(a) \circ T, \quad \forall a \in G.$$