# Quantum Information Theory Series 4

HS 14 Dr. J.M. Renes

If interested, have a look at http://iopscience.iop.org/1464-4266/5/3/357. It is about photonical implementation of a simple POVM measurement.

## Exercise 4.1 Non-uniqueness of the Kraus operators

Given is a density operator on  $\mathbb{C}^2$  as  $\rho = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{r})$ . Let  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  denote projection operators onto the first and second elements of the natural basis of  $\mathbb{C}^2$ , respectively. Show that

$$\sum_{j} A_{j}^{*} \rho A_{j} = \frac{1}{2} (1 + r_{z} \sigma_{z}) \tag{1}$$

Now let  $B_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}1$  and  $B_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sigma_z$ . Show that

$$\sum_{j} B_{j}^{*} \rho B_{j} = \frac{1}{2} (1 + r_{z} \sigma_{z}) \tag{2}$$

Hence deduce that the Kraus operators are not uniquely determined by a POVM.

### Exercise 4.2 Generalized Measurement by Direct (Tensor) Product

Consider an apparatus whose purpose is to make an indirect measurement on a two-level system, A, by first coupling it to a three-level system, B, and then making a projective measurement on the latter. B is initially prepared in the state  $|0\rangle$  and the two systems interact via the unitary  $U_{AB}$  as follows:

$$\begin{split} |0\rangle_A|0\rangle_B & \to & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( |0\rangle_A|1\rangle_B + |0\rangle_A|2\rangle_B \right) \\ |1\rangle_A|0\rangle_B & \to & \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \left( 2|1\rangle_A|0\rangle_B + |0\rangle_A|1\rangle_B - |0\rangle_A|2\rangle_B \right) \end{split}$$

- 1. Calculate the measurement operators acting on A corresponding to a measurement on B in the canonical basis  $|0\rangle, |1\rangle, |2\rangle$ .
- 2. Calculate the corresponding POVM elements. What is their rank? Onto which states do they project?
- 3. Suppose A is in the state  $|\psi\rangle_A = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle_A + |1\rangle_A)$ . What is the state after a measurement, averaging over the measurement result?

#### Exercise 4.3 Unambiguous State Discrimination

Suppose that Bob has a state  $\rho$  that can either be  $\rho_1$  and  $\rho_2$ , but he does not know which one. Bob wants to guess which state he has, and he wants to never guess wrong. He can achieve that, if he is allowed to not make a guess at all based on result of his measurement.

- 1. Bob's measurement surely has outcomes  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  corresponding to  $\rho_1$  and  $\rho_2$ , respectively. Assuming the two states  $\rho_j$  are pure,  $\rho_j = |\phi_j\rangle\langle\phi_j|$  for some  $|\phi_j\rangle$ , what is the general form of  $E_j$  such that  $\Pr(E_j|\rho_k) = 0$  for  $j \neq k$ ?
- 2. Can these two elements alone make up a POVM? Is there generally an inconclusive result  $E_{?}$ ?
- 3. Assuming  $\rho_1$  and  $\rho_2$  are sent with equal probability, what is the optimal unambiguous measurement, i.e. the unambiguous measurement with the smallest probability of an inconclusive result?

#### Exercise 4.4 Broken Measurement

Alice and Bob share a state  $|\Psi\rangle_{AB}$ , and Bob would like to perform a measurement described by projectors  $P_j$  on his part of the system, but unfortunately his measurement apparatus is broken. He can still perform arbitrary unitary operations, however. Meanwhile, Alice's measurement apparatus is in good working order. Show that there exist projectors  $P'_j$  and unitaries  $U_j$  and  $V_j$  so that

$$|\Psi_j\rangle = (\mathbb{1} \otimes P_j) |\Psi\rangle = (U_j \otimes V_j) (P'_i \otimes \mathbb{1}) |\Psi\rangle.$$

(Note that the state is unnormalized, so that it implicitly encodes the probability of outcome j.) Thus Alice can assist Bob by performing a related measurement herself, after which they can locally correct the state. *Hint:* Work in the Schmidt basis of  $|\Psi\rangle$ .