

### 13.1. Jacobi theta functions

An important set of functions in the theory of modular forms<sup>1</sup> are the so-called Jacobi theta functions. Here we consider the three functions

$$\begin{aligned}\theta_2(\tau) &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z} + 1/2} q^{\frac{n^2}{2}} = 2q^{\frac{1}{8}} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^n)(1 + q^n)^2, \\ \theta_3(\tau) &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{\frac{n^2}{2}} = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^n)(1 + q^{n-\frac{1}{2}})^2, \\ \theta_4(\tau) &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^n q^{\frac{n^2}{2}} = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^n)(1 - q^{n-\frac{1}{2}})^2,\end{aligned}\tag{13.1}$$

where  $q = e^{2\pi i \tau}$ . Here and from now on,  $\tau \in \mathbb{H}^+$ , where  $\mathbb{H}^+$  is the complex upper half plane.

a) Show that

$$\begin{aligned}\theta_2(\tau + 1) &= e^{i\frac{\pi}{4}} \theta_2(\tau), \\ \theta_3(\tau + 1) &= \theta_4(\tau), \\ \theta_4(\tau + 1) &= \theta_3(\tau).\end{aligned}\tag{13.2}$$

b) In order to compute the behaviour of  $\theta$ 's under the inversion  $\tau \rightarrow -\frac{1}{\tau}$ , we can employ the so-called *Poisson resummation formula*. Prove that, for any  $f : \mathbb{R} \mapsto \mathbb{C}$  smooth and small at infinity<sup>2</sup>, the following equality holds

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} f(n) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \tilde{f}(n), \quad \text{where } \tilde{f}(y) := \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{2\pi i xy} f(x) dx.\tag{13.3}$$

*Hint:* show that the function  $g(x) := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} f(x + n)$  is well-defined and periodic; exploit this fact and that  $\sum_n f(n) \equiv g(0)$ .

c) Using the Poisson resummation formula, show that

$$\begin{aligned}\theta_2(-\frac{1}{\tau}) &= \sqrt{-i\tau} \theta_4(\tau), \\ \theta_3(-\frac{1}{\tau}) &= \sqrt{-i\tau} \theta_3(\tau), \\ \theta_4(-\frac{1}{\tau}) &= \sqrt{-i\tau} \theta_2(\tau).\end{aligned}\tag{13.4}$$

d) The Dedekind  $\eta$  function is defined as

$$\eta(\tau) := q^{\frac{1}{24}} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^n).\tag{13.5}$$

<sup>1</sup>Modular forms are holomorphic functions  $f : \mathbb{H}^+ \mapsto \mathbb{C}$  that, loosely speaking, “transform nicely” under (finite index subgroups of)  $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ . Here  $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$  acts on  $z \in \mathbb{H}^+$  as  $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}) \ni \gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} : z \mapsto \frac{az+b}{cz+d}$ .

<sup>2</sup>A sufficient condition is that  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ .

Show that

$$[\eta(\tau)]^3 = \frac{1}{2} \theta_2(\tau) \theta_3(\tau) \theta_4(\tau). \quad (13.6)$$

Hence, show that

$$\eta(\tau + 1) = e^{i\frac{\pi}{12}} \eta(\tau), \quad \eta(-\frac{1}{\tau}) = \sqrt{-i\tau} \eta(\tau). \quad (13.7)$$

## 13.2. Modular properties of Dedekind $\eta$ function

In this exercise, we want to derive directly the modular transformation

$$\eta(-\frac{1}{\tau}) = \sqrt{-i\tau} \eta(\tau) \quad (13.8)$$

of the Dedekind eta function.

Consider first  $\tau = iy$ ,  $y$  real and positive. We will establish the transformation in eq. (13.8) along the imaginary axis since we can then analytically continue the result in the whole  $\mathbb{H}^+$ . From now on, we thus fix  $\tau = iy$  and work with positive real  $y$ .

a) Show that eq. (13.8) is equivalent to the equality

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m} \frac{1}{1 - e^{2\pi my}} - \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m} \frac{1}{1 - e^{2\pi m/y}} - \frac{\pi}{12} \left( y - \frac{1}{y} \right) = -\frac{1}{2} \log y. \quad (13.9)$$

b) Our aim is to prove eq. (13.9) via residue calculus.

We now fix  $y > 0$  and consider the function

$$F_n(z) = -\frac{1}{8z} \cot \left[ i\pi \left( n + \frac{1}{2} \right) z \right] \cot \left[ \frac{\pi \left( n + \frac{1}{2} \right) z}{y} \right]. \quad (13.10)$$

Let  $C$  be the parallelogram in the  $z$  complex plane that joins the vertices  $y, i, -y, -i$ . Compute the integral  $\int_C F_n(z) dz$  via the residue theorem and show that the limit for  $n \rightarrow \infty$  of  $2\pi i$  times the sum of residues equals the l.h.s. of eq. (13.9). *Hint:* there are  $4n$  simple poles and a triple pole you have to consider.

c) What is left to do is to show that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_C F_n(z) dz = -\frac{1}{2} \log y. \quad (13.11)$$

The tricky part is to show that we can liberally exchange sum and integration, so that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_C F_n(z) dz = \int_C \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} z F_n(z) \frac{dz}{z}. \quad (13.12)$$

You can assume this<sup>3</sup>, and then show that this integral equals  $-\frac{1}{2} \log y$ . This completes the proof.

---

<sup>3</sup>Notice that the sequence  $\{zF_n(z)\}$  is uniformly bounded and convergent almost everywhere on  $C$ . Moreover, each  $zF_n$  is (Lebesgue) integrable on each side of  $C$ ; then eq. (13.12) is a consequence of Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem.